IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

MONDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

and
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

WRIT APPEAL NO : 1976 of 2004

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order dated 17/08/2004 in WP No : 14468 OF 2004 on the file of the High Court.)

Between:

B. Satyanarayana Rao,

S/o.B.Subba Rao, Aged 56 years,

Occ:Head Master, Upper Primary Elementary School,

R/o.Chilakaladona Village, Mantralayam Mandal,

Kurnool District.

..... APPELLANT

AND

1. K. Ranganna Setty, S/o K. Maldakantaiah Setty,
Aged about 36 years, Occ:Member of Maitri Sangam,
R/o Chilakaladona Village, Mantralayam Mandal,
Kurnool District.
2. The District Collector, Kurnool.
3. The District Educational Officer, Kurnool.
4. The Mandal Educational Officer, Mantralayam,
Kurnool District.

..RESPONDENTS

Counsel for the Appellant: Mr.P.NAGENDRA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 Mr.B.VIJAYA BHASKAR

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 to 4: GP FOR EDUCATION

The Court, at the stage of admission, made the following:

JUDGMENT: (Per Hon'ble Sri Justice B.Sudershan Reddy)

The writ appeal is absolutely frivolous in its nature. We fail to appreciate as to how the appellant is aggrieved by the orders passed by the learned Single Judge, disposing of the writ petition. The mild observation is that if any complaint is pending against the appellant, may have to be looked into by the competent authority, in accordance with law. There is no direction as such issued against the appellant in the writ petition.

The Writ Appeal shall accordingly stand dismissed without any order as to costs.

_____

B. SUDERSHAN REDDY, J.

Date:13-12-2004

_____

C.V. RAMULU, J.

GS/PV

To

1. The District Collector, Kurnool.

2. The District Educational Officer, Kurnool.

3. The Mandal Educational Officer, Mantralayam,
Kurnool District.

4. The G.P. for Education, High Court of A.P.,
Hyderabad (O.U.T.)

5. Two CD copies